

Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you.

(b) (6)



USEPA SF



1578743

Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you,

(b) (6)

A large rectangular area of the document is redacted with a solid grey fill, obscuring the signature and any text that might have been present below the name (b) (6).

Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you,

(b) (6)



Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you,

(b) (6)





Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you,

(b) (6)



April 9, 2021

Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

(b) (6)



Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you,

(b) (6)



Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you,

(b) (6)



See file, WAF



Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you, (b) (6)



Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

(b) (6)



Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you,

(b) (6)



Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you,

(b) (6)

A large rectangular grey box redacting the signature of the sender.



Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you,

(b) (6)

A large rectangular area of the document is redacted with a solid grey box, obscuring the signature and any text that might have been present below the "Thank you," line.

Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you.

(b) (6)



Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff


Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you,  
(b) (6)



Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you,

(b) (6)





Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you,

(b) (6)



Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you,

(b) (6)

A large rectangular area of the document is redacted with a solid grey box, covering the signature and any identifying information of the sender.

Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you,

(b) (6)

A large gray rectangular box redacting the signature of the sender.

Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

(b) (6)

Tha





Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you,

(b) (6)



Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you,

(b) (6)



Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you,

(b) (6)

A large rectangular grey box redacting the signature and name of the sender.

Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you,

(b) (6)

A large rectangular grey box redacting the signature and name of the sender.



Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you,

(b) (6)



WA STATE RESIDENT

Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you,

(b) (6)



Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you,

(b) (6)

A large rectangular area of the document is redacted with a solid grey fill, obscuring the signature and any handwritten notes that might have been present.

Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you,

(b) (6)

A large rectangular area of the document is redacted with a solid grey box, obscuring the signature and any identifying information of the sender.

Georgetown Resident



Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

(b) (6)

A large rectangular area of the document is redacted with a solid grey box. The redaction covers the signature and any text that might have been present below the signature line.

Thank you,

Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you,

(b) (6)

A large rectangular area of the document is redacted with a solid grey box, covering the signature and any handwritten notes.

Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you,

(b) (6)



Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you,

(b) (6)



4/19/2024



Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you  
(b) (6)



Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you,

(b) (6)

A large rectangular grey box redacting the signature of the sender.

Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

(b) (6)



Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff


Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you, (b) (6)





Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

(b) (6)

A large rectangular area of the document has been redacted with a solid grey box, obscuring the signature and any identifying information of the sender.

Thank you,

Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you,

(b) (6)



Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you,

(b) (6)



Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you,

(b) (6)





Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you.

(b) (6)



Dear Kay Morrison, EPA Region 10 Involvement Staff

Thank you for extending the comment period to April 21st and for providing the community time to provide input.

I urge the EPA to clean the Duwamish River according to the December 2014 Record of Decision (ROD) which dictates the timelines and level of cleanup required, including where and how much toxic sediment needs to be removed, capped, or treated with other alternatives. The EPA recommended the dredging remedy for the areas with the highest levels of toxic chemicals or where bottom river mud cannot be covered with a cap or natural river deposits.

The community has advocated for a thorough river clean up and the 2014 ROD was a compromise enough. To not clean 5 acres goes against previous agreements with the most impacted communities.

The river communities are suffering environmental impacts from the area being industrialized and deserve the most thorough cleanup to still include the cleanup of 177 acres and to not take short-cuts to save 1 million dollars. The community urges the EPA to remove sediments and have the river cleaned up according to the Dec 2014 Record of Decision.

Thank you,

(b) (6)

A large rectangular gray box redacting the signature and name of the sender.